

MINUTES OF THE REGULAR COUNCIL MEETING OF BRAZEAU COUNTY, HELD IN THE COUNTY ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, COUNCIL CHAMBERS IN BRAZEAU COUNTY ON TUESDAY, 2014 09 02

CALL TO ORDER

Reeve P. Vos called the meeting to order at 9:00 am.

PRESENT

P. Vos, Reeve
R. Moir, Councillor
M. Thompson, Councillor
M. Gressler, Councillor
A. Heinrich, Councillor
S. Mahan, Councillor
K. Westerlund, Councillor
M. Schoeninger, Chief Administrative Officer
K. Robinson, Executive Assistant
T. Thomson, Fire Chief
R. Ennis, Director of Community Services
J. Evasiuk, Director of Public Works and Infrastructure
B. Christie, Director of Corporate Services

OTHERS

L. Allan, Western Review

ADDITION TO AND ADOPTION OF AGENDA

Addition to and Adoption of Agenda

1033/14 Moved by K. Westerlund to approve the agenda with the following additions:

- 5. Delegations/Appointments
10:00 am – additional report
- 7. Corporate Services
 - b) Bylaw 850-14 – Public Utility Lot Designation
 - c) Quarterly Budget vs Actuals with Variance Explanation
- 9. Planning and Development
 - a) additional report
- 10. General Matters
 - e) Response from Village of Breton
 - f) Violet Grove Crime Watch Per Diem
- 43. Meeting Dates
 - c) Schedule Organizational Meeting Date for October, 2014
- 45. In Private
 - Resident Concerns – Code of Conduct

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADOPTION OF MINUTES

Adoption of Minutes

- 1034/14** Moved by A. Heinrich to approve the minutes of the August 18, 2014 Council meeting amended as follows:
 - Delete Councillors S. Mahan and M. Gressler from the list of those present

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

- 1035/14** Moved by M. Thompson to approve the minutes of the August 19, 2014 Council meeting amended as follows:
 - Delete the first paragraph on Page 17 under the Drayton Valley Healthy Community Coalition/Safe and Healthy Community Working Group

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

BUSINESS ARISING

Business Arising

None

URGENT ITEMS

Urgent Items

None

COMMUNITY SERVICES

Community Services

2014 Brazeau County Business Visitation Report

Council reviewed the report presented.

- 1036/14** Moved by A. Heinrich to receive the report for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CORPORATE SERVICES

Corporate Services

PER-1 Personnel Policy and Procedures

Council reviewed the report presented.

- 1037/14** Moved by M. Gressler to approve the PER-1 Personnel Policy and Procedures as presented.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Bylaw 850-14 – Public Utility Lot Designation

Council reviewed the report presented.

1038/14 Moved by K. Westerlund to give first reading to Bylaw 850-14 and schedule a 'public hearing' for October 7, 2014 at 10:30 am.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Quarterly Budget vs Actuals with Variance Explanations

Council reviewed the report presented.

1039/14 Moved by R. Moir to receive the report for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PUBLIC WORKS

Public Works and Infrastructure

Grader Route Maps

Council reviewed the report presented.

1040/14 Moved by M. Thompson to post grader beats on the website.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1041/14 Moved by R. Moir that Administration research whether other municipalities post the grader beats and what technology may be available.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Lot Grading and Lot Drainage Eastview Heights 1

Council reviewed the report presented.

1042/14 Moved by M. Gressler to approve the allocation of \$53,735.00 for the survey, design and tender/construction documents for a storm water management plan for the area between 26th Avenue and Joshua Blvd., west of the Ring Road, to be produced by Amec Engineering.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**DELEGATIONS/
APPOINTMENTS****Delegations/Appointments****Bridge Update – Michael Pylko, Construction Manager**

Michael Pylko, Construction Manager for Alberta Transportation attended the meeting to update Council regarding the Hwy. 22 bridge construction. Setbacks have pushed the project back to this fall. However, there is the possibility that the new bridge won't open in the fall, so Alberta Transportation is inspecting and monitoring the old bridge for use during the winter until the project is completed.

1043/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to receive the report for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PUBLIC WORKS**Public Works****Lot Grading and Lot Drainage Eastview Heights 1**

1044/14 Moved by K. Westerlund that the \$53,735.00 allocated for the survey, design and tender/construction documents for a storm water management plan be taken from unrestricted surplus.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**PLANNING &
DEVELOPMENT****Planning and Development****Land Use Bylaw – Draft Terms of Reference**

Council reviewed the report presented.

1045/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to amend the Draft Land Use Bylaw Terms of Reference in that the steering committee becomes Council and not the Municipal Planning Commission and to include public input.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**DELEGATIONS/
APPOINTMENTS****Delegations/Appointments****Public Hearing – Bylaw 840-14**

1046/14 Moved by R. Moir that the regular Council meeting of September 2, 2014 proceed into 'public hearing' at 10:03 am for the purpose of receiving public input regarding Bylaw 840-14.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1047/14 Moved by K. Westerlund that the regular Council meeting of September 2, 2014 come out of 'public hearing' at 10:16 am.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT

Planning and Development

Bylaw 840-14

1048/14 Moved by K. Westerlund to table further discussion regarding Bylaw 840-14 to September 16, 2014.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Reeve P. Vos called for a break at 10:19 am and the meeting resumed at 10:32 am.

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

Planning and Development

Land Use Bylaw Amendment 14A-008 – Bylaw 849-14: Redistrict Pt. NW 18-49-7-W5M from Agricultural (AG) to Modular/Manufactured Home Park (MHP)

Council reviewed the report presented.

1049/14 Moved by S. Mahan to give first reading to Bylaw 849-14 and schedule a public hearing for 10:00 am October 21, 2014.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Land Use Bylaw – Summary of Council Proposed Changes

Council reviewed the report presented.

1050/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to address the Major Home Occupation as an amendment to the Land Use Bylaw now and that we also need to make the motion that the definition be accepted for Major Home Occupation as has been previously discussed out of the 474-04 Land Use Bylaw with changes there to 12 employees and 4 tri-axes instead of tandems.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**GENERAL
MATTERS**General MattersQuarterly Budget & Departmental Review

Council reviewed the report presented.

1051/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to add the Quarterly Budget and Departmental Review to the agenda under meeting dates.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**PUBLIC INPUT
SESSION**Public Input Session

Allan Taylor – I have a problem here you I see in your minutes change the time on your ratepayer concerns. Also I see you are changing it from 'public input' to 'ratepayer concern'. Why do you want to go at 9:15 and what is the difference in meanings from 'concerns' to 'input'? Cause I see you're not doing a whole lot with 'concerns' so is 'input' going to help us make it better? I don't know. And also, on your concerns I see like I know you gave yourself a 10% raise and a 100% raise to address ratepayer concerns from 2 to 4 days. I mean that's 32 hours. I know some of you are probably doing 32 hours, but I don't know if everybody is. I mean your ratepayers voted you in, are you all doing your 32 hours talking to your ratepayers and discussing issues. That's what I don't know. Also, you know we're talking about paying all these consultants for coming in for certain decisions and that, I'm sure we're paying some 6 digit figures to people here and yet we got to hire consultants to make decisions. I think you know, this is for the County, and I'm sure you guys were elected to do that and I hope you would be able to do that. And also, I know on just by going some information from Niagara Falls, I get people saying we had to that Kneehill County hires their doctors and how they do it. Well I think Kneehill County is in the province of Alberta and we got to pay \$5,000 to go to Niagara Falls and find this out. Internet is a very valuable research and also somebody else comparing the City of Windsor of 100,000 in affordable housing to Drayton Valley which is 7,000. I mean what are we getting at here, figures don't add up. I mean affordable housing, I think you're trailer guys are probably doing that, but you look at Ft. McMurray, they were doing a big affordable housing project that place burned down. They didn't gain nothing. And also I'm very ashamed of your County. The mess we got, I mean all you Councillors drive these roads, you hired more people for weed inspection. You wonder why people are getting mad. You want us to spray weeds when your ditches are full of them. It's terrible. It's a disgrace. And also I know roads have been built 4 or 5 years ago. You got willows growing right up to the edge of the ditches already. I mean how, why are we not concerned about our County a little more. It seems like the Town of Drayton Valley, you're more worried about it than the County. I think it's about time you guys did a little more for your County. The people voted you guys in to work for us not the Town of Drayton Valley. We do enough

revenue sharing as it is and then they just want more and more and more. And that's what I'm saying, spend some money in your County. It's getting a terrible mess, it's a disgrace. And then also on paying, I see in your expenses accounts for July haven't been published yet. I mean I don't know if you guys are hiding something or not. People look at this and see what's being spent, but it's not even out there yet. This has been over a month. It's September already and July expenses haven't been on the...I don't blame the person putting it on, I almost feel that they are being told to wait till after this meeting because otherwise people would bring up some stuff that was being spent. I don't know. What is the reason? It's always been on there before in time, but it's getting later and later every time. And then I don't know about this communication tower being built so close to Drayton Valley when Telus is coming in with fiber optics and us people out in the country can't even get out on our cell phones and anything else. And I just wonder why Drayton Valley is chose first over some of the other areas that got very poor service. Who is it benefitting, I don't know. And then also, we're going to have a meeting in Leduc with the Town of Drayton Valley. Why are we going to Leduc to do this? Can't we have it in your own town? You guys hiding something or what's going on here. I haven't figured this out. I mean you guys are representing the people of the County and they have every right to know what's going on. I mean it seems more and more everything's going 'in private' so nobody knows anything and I don't know why. I don't know who you guys are working for. We voted you guys to work for the ratepayers. I mean you've changed the names from 'ratepayers' to 'public input' how would you like us to change your guys names. I mean we could do that but we probably won't. I think that's about it. I think everybody, there's a lot of other people got more comments here. Thank you

Garry Mastre – I think Allan covered most of the stuff I was going to talk about anyway, but I guess I'll start out with you guys going to Leduc to have a meeting with Drayton Valley, I mean sounds insignificant, but I mean you keep preaching to us buy local everything should be local and then you head out for a meeting as if you're trying to hide it whatever's going on from your ratepayers. You just talked a minute ago how you want more input from ratepayers and all that other stuff. At the same time you going to change ratepayers till 9:00 in the morning to a half hour with 5 minutes for each person. Right now it's at 11:00 for an hour and it's ratepayer concerns, it's not ratepayers input. We come here like right now with concerns. It's past the point of input, most of the time you're having these meetings without any input. Land Use Bylaw you had some input and it sounds like everybody's interested in having more, which it should be. It is your constituents input that you are trying to implement. And yet, you're going to move it to 9:00 in the morning, you know anybody in a business knows that from 6:00 till about 10:00 is a major time to get your business running and everything going. Usually you can sneak out at 11:00 till noon to come to one of these to address your concerns not your input. And even the farmers are feeding their cattle, they're getting their kids off to school, so who would ever, who in Council came up with this to put it to 9:00 in the morning without even really asking the public. Two people come forward and say something or. I don't know, we out there don't know where you're going. But we do have concerns and to the point where it should even be like you knocked it down to a

half hour, it should go for a full hour or as long as you have to if these constituents have concerns, that's what you're supposed to be addressing. I don't care how long it takes, even if it went into an hour into the afternoon on top of that all, you should be addressing it instead of pushing it off to the side. Well, you know we come here and it's I'm not even here for myself, it's for everybody else because they don't have time half of them. I say that's why I'm here. We haven't asked you personally for anything, we just ask you to grade the dam roads and get rid of the weeds and it's the truth, Allan just brought that up, I don't care which road you go – straight west of town here, the new road you're building. You knocked down a whole bunch of weeds as you built the ditch out. Like and I don't even mind having a few weeds but you go to some farmer and demand he do this right now at the same time the weeds are blowing onto his place from the ditch. And you can hire as many people as you want you probably won't cover it all. You don't mow up to the edge of the fence you mow that one strip or that two strips along the ditch and that's it. The rest of the weeds you just drive right by them. And maybe you go later and spray, but you don't cover near enough in a year to get these all up. I just don't know this control thing, we got to get rid of this control thing that the County wants to control every little person and every little acreage right down to which tree you plant. It's frustrating, we watch at a distance. You try to get Economic Development and killing it at the same time. Like there's so many rules for things in the country, everyone's going to move into town and maybe that's what you want so that you have no problems whatsoever. But I'm just rambling on now, but this going to Leduc and paying. You've got a multi-million dollar building with meeting rooms, they have them in town too, if you two can't get along there and I know you're going to get a mediator but he can drive here easy, I don't have to pay you to go there with mileage and everything else. And it's trivial maybe compared to the big picture, but if you don't start with the trivial stuff you'll never the big stuff, so like again I said I'm rambling on and I get a little frustrated and sorry but just a real concern I have. Thank you very much

Dixie Taylor – My question is when did we start paying you guys to meet with politicians such as Jim Prentice who isn't even in power yet? And we're paying for this. I don't believe that should happen. And as far as ratepayer concerns go, I believe ratepayers have every right to have as much time to speak about their concerns as they want. You forget who pays your wages.

Safroni Hanas – I have some concerns as to I think I'm speaking again the same as Allan did, but why are we hiring consultants and is it something that the County can then fall back on and blame somebody else for making the decision. And the other thing is my concern is why are we always looking to go to another County or MD to see what the hell they're doing. Shouldn't we be leaders instead of followers? And that's my comment.

Marie Cornelson – I have a question about the roads. Our Twp. Rd. 504 is going to be rebuilt and John was out to discuss that with us and it's our understanding that the Council made the decision to rebuild the whole road, tear it up and rebuild the whole road. And I'm wondering if it's possible and I'm speaking on behalf of Ivan too, and also spoke to John about it and John said it's Council's decision. If it could be done like they did RR 72 from Twp. Rd. 504 south. All they did was take the gradall and clean out the ditches and put a bunch of gravel on top and it'll be a huge, a huge inconvenience for everyone living on that road if it's tore up and it's going to take awhile especially if you hit a raining time as you well know. So that is my question, is it possible to just clean out the ditches grade it up and add gravel, rather than tear it up and start all over.

Lynn Oberle – I'm here to speak to your proposed changes to the procedural bylaw. And I want to commend the eloquence of those that have spoken to this ahead of me and needless to say I concur with their comments. And with reference to that procedural bylaw, and your proposed changes, I have one comment to make. Your proposal represents nothing but total and complete contempt for the people who elected you and pay your ridiculous per diems. Twenty years ago we fought for this bylaw and the Council's of the day were no different than you. Some of them were also contemptuous of the electorate, but in their wisdom, they saw fit to include the ratepayers hour as a good thing. This ratepayers hours is one of the few bright lights that was instituted in this County and your proposal to limit it, further limit the voice of the people. Now, with regards to this whole thing a corollary statement that is you people exist for my benefit not the other way around.

Maureen Schwab – Good Morning Council, most of what's been said I agree with 100%. I'm extremely disappointed that it was the Councillor in my division that made the motion to, if nothing else, limit to 5 minutes anyone who wishes to speak in what you proposing to have as your public input session. Many people come into this facility and are intimidated because they have never spoken to Council before. Many people can't gather their thoughts fast enough to say what they would like to say in 5 minutes. And quite frankly folks, this is pure and utter b****t. You represent the people and I can come in here and say what I want to say in 2 minutes because I know exactly what I want to say. And sometimes I have to temper what I'm saying because I pretty well know the process here. But what you're trying to do is stifle to public in my opinion and that is wrong. I do want to speak to the meeting in Leduc. My understanding and one of the things on the agenda is governance. I have sent a letter to the Reeve this morning. I agonize whether I'd send it to the Reeve or put it in the paper and that may happen. I can tell you that in the last election there were close to 1,000 people who did not support the current Reeve. She was elected duly by the democratic process. If a third of those people went out and got 5 signatures we would have a governance review by the Province, would order it. They would send George Cuff in here to do a review. I guarantee you every one of this Council, please take note, his marching orders. I've seen them. I've met with him of two separate reviews that he has done. One here and one provincially that I was asked to sit in and provide information. He can discuss every single thing that was said in private, every single staff member can meet with him off

site with absolutely no fear of ramifications. He has ultimate authority and believe me some things that may be go on, I don't know. You may not want to have come out in a public document and the terms of reference will be set by the public of this County if County petitions for a Corporate Review. I'm ready to the point, I'm so fed up I would pack a petition right tomorrow and I quite frankly have a heck of a lot better things to do than ride shotgun on a Council that was elected to represent the people. Quite frankly if this meeting goes ahead tomorrow, I think it is contempt of every citizen you represent. We didn't elect you to go and sit in Leduc and talk with a facilitated meeting with the Town and have governance on the table. I don't care what the governance is, nobody elected you to talk governance with the Town of Drayton Valley. Brazeau County is a jurisdiction of its own. The only governance you need to worry about is the governance that you provide for this County. Thank you

Lori Clark – I live in Brazeau County and I'm wondering ...people are saying their concerns to you and you're not addressing them at all. So can I just sit here while you address all the concerns that were said to you. Can you talk to people? Can you explain yourselves?

Reeve P. Vos replied – this is not a debate and it's not a discussion.

Lori Clark – I think people are here for answers. I don't think they're just here to see none of you speak. Like you guys work for us. You need to explain why you are doing what you are doing and you're not saying crap. Like why are you going to Leduc, why are you changing the 'ratepayer's concerns'? People have obviously asked you these questions, they are telling you they are concerned about it and you're not explaining anything. I don't understand that. You work for us, if your employers are asking you a question, you need to talk back to them. You need to give them an answer, you don't just take notes and ignore us. You guys are doing that way too often. So answer them. Why are you going to Leduc? Why are you changing 'ratepayers concerns'? Answer their questions. You never do that. If you're not going to answer the questions and kind of stand behind or explain what you're doing, then what the hell are you sitting here for. Seriously, do you job, answer the people. I'll sit here until you do.

Reeve P. Vos – thank you Lori. A couple of these items are on the agenda later today. The procedural bylaw is absolutely on the agenda. It hasn't been, the topic hasn't come up yet. I really appreciate everyone's comments. It gives us great information on how to make that decision when that part comes on the agenda. The meeting in Leduc is also on the agenda later today and once we make those two decisions, we'll absolutely let people know and if people wish to stay until those decisions are made, they can do that.

Lori Clark – why can't you answer it during 'ratepayer concerns' if you've already made decisions to do this.

Reeve P. Vos – we haven't made the decisions, it's on the agenda. It's a suggestion on the agenda. Based on what we just heard now, I think we may not be making the right decision, but that's going to come up during that discussion on the agenda in a timely fashion.

Lori Clark – Couldn't you have explained that to people when they brought it up to you. We would just magically know that that's what you're going to do. The reservations have been made at the Royal Executive Hotel, you guys are on it. You can't address that now so when you address it later this afternoon, then you're going to open the mike for everyone to speak back then and you're hoping that no one has a job to get back to. I just don't get how you guys are doing this. I guess my other question is why isn't that meeting in Leduc, why wasn't that made public on the website and advertised in the newspaper so that we, the people again that pay you know what you're doing. Why would you keep that hidden? Why do we have to find out from people that work for the establishments to find out what you're doing. And I guess my last question is I'd like to ask each Councillor individually to tell me who they work for. Maryann why are you here?

M. Thompson – I was elected by the ratepayers to do the best job I can and I would hope that at the end of the day not every decision that we make is going to be, make everybody happy and I've gone into it knowing that. But in the bottom of my heart, I am doing the best that I can for my ratepayers and I hope everybody believes that.

Lori Clark – Mark, who do you work for?

M. Gressler – I work for all ratepayers not only the ones sitting in the room, but all the hundreds that are out there doing their job and I'm listening to those people and those are the people I listen to when I make these decisions. Not just the people in here, I respect the people that come here and make their point, but there are hundreds out there that are talking to me that make other points as well. So I've got to take their consideration as well as the people that come here because some people can't make it here period no matter what time day or night we make it. And those people when they catch me in Town, when they catch me at my business, when they catch me where ever I am, I listen to them and based on those discussions that I have with those people, that's why I make the decisions I do.

Lori Clark – I can say I came to you with a concern, Mark and you didn't bother getting back to me so that kind of sucks. Shirley....

S. Mahan – Same thing Lori, we're here for everybody and we do hear from a lot of people, not just people that are in here or come to these meetings and so therefore we do weigh everything that comes into us, pro and cons of everything. You need to listen and deal with community as a whole, not just one part of it.

Lori Clark – and you think that you're representing people properly.

S. Mahan – I think we are.

Lori Clark – and that not telling us about what you're meeting with the Town of Drayton Valley about governance is representing us properly.

S. Mahan – we are a community, community is all of us working together. We definitely have to cost share in our community. If....

Lori Clark – we're not talking about cost sharing..

S. Mahan – well it's the same thing, you're talking, we're all one community

Lori Clark – laughing says 'no it's not' it's not the same thing at all

S. Mahan – we have the same governance rules, we're still one community

Lori Clark – we're not talking about revenue sharing, we're talking about you guys having meetings and not letting us know, when you work for us. Rita..

R. Moir – My heart has been since I started to work for my ratepayers. I do bend over backwards whenever I get a call from my ratepayers. One of the questions was the 32 hours that we get paid. That also involves all the reading that we have to do to prepare. I just got back from holidays on Friday, I am unaware of what the meeting, of what's happening. I have questions about that myself because I just got back on Friday, I don't understand any of that and I do have concerns. I do work for my ratepayers and my heart is to listen to my ratepayers and do what's best for this County.

Lori Clark – so you didn't.....

R. Moir – I was not aware of this scheduled meeting in Leduc, I got home from holidays on Friday.

A. Heinrich – I feel I work for the residents of Brazeau County. I feel I've been elected by particularly from the residents of Division 5 but have not necessarily had my focus of concern only for the residents of Division 5. As being elected as a Councillor for Brazeau County I feel I'm a Councillor for the whole county and my priorities and my dedication is to Brazeau County. As it relates further into the needs that we have that might be met with working with Drayton Valley I have no problems with that, but I do not agree with us being as accommodating as we have been in the last while.

K. Westerlund – I was elected for Division 4 but I represent the entire County. I've been here for over 20 years, I'm raising my family and my children in Brazeau County. This is my home and it will be my home for the future and I care deeply about what goes on in Brazeau County and about the future here.

Lori Clark – I was talking specifically about your division.

K. Westerlund – I was elected for Division 4 and for the entire County. I'm a Councillor.

Lori Clark – I don't think you represent everyone in Division 4 cause you don't even talk to half of us, but that's cool. Thank you for your answers.

K. Westerlund – you can pick up the phone and give me a call anytime.

Lynn Raines – Maybe it's paranoid on the east side of the river, but I'm going to be honest. Anytime you guys meet with the Town of Drayton Valley, it gets my hackles up. I feel we feel, exactly what Anthony said, far too accommodating to the Town. In the last annexation talks, I felt as a member of Brazeau County, although there was write-ups in the paper, we were actually not well educated in what was going on and what we were actually giving to the Town of Drayton Valley. And I was very frustrated by that. I am actually better informed with what's going on between the City of Edmonton and Leduc. I get propaganda out our way regarding what's going on there, but I saw very little coming from Brazeau County and Drayton Valley when that happened. So, on the heels of the Redford government, we appreciate transparency, we don't want to see you guys take that same road. Keep it so we are aware of what's going on. When you move out of our County it really does look like you've got something to hide. So let's keep it here, let's keep our ratepayers informed.

Phyllis Schmidt – Just a quick question, why did you think that you needed to have a 10% raise? What is the average that people are getting these days? 10% is huge, I have never received a 10% raise in all my working life. And believe me, I've put in the hours that you do and many more. So I just don't understand the rationale for 10%, that's a lot.

Reeve P. Vos replied that we took the averages of the surrounding Counties and the amount that we changed ours would bring us into line in the average with the surrounding Counties.

Reeve P. Vos – thank you everybody for your comments. I appreciate the time you took to be here this morning, I appreciate your feedback. The two main issues will be on the agenda later today.

GENERAL MATTERS General Matters
Procedural Bylaw 844-14

Council reviewed the report presented.

1052/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to receive for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Criteria Used or Process for Determining What Committee Council sit on

Council reviewed the report presented.

1053/14 Moved by M. Thompson that Councillors prepare a short report on the benefits of sitting on a committee and that the committee provide their mandate and bring back to Council for review prior to the October Organizational meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1054/14 Moved by R. Moir to receive the report for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Development Permit 14D-069/Bylaw 235-95 – Off Site Levies

Council reviewed the report presented.

1055/14 Moved by M. Gressler to revoke condition #4 on Development Permit 14D-069 and to waive the off-site levies.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

1056/14 Moved by M. Gressler to review the files to research if there are additional cases where off-site levies have not be paid, bring them forward for Council's review.

IN FAVOUR: M. Gressler

OPPOSED: K. Westerlund
A. Heinrich
R. Moir
P. Vos
S. Mahan
M. Thompson

DEFEATED

RECESS FOR LUNCH

Recess for Lunch

1057/14 Moved by K. Westerlund that the regular Council meeting of September 2, 2014 recess for lunch at 12:07 pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CALL TO ORDER

Reeve P. Vos called the meeting to order at 12:50 pm.

PRESENT

P. Vos, Reeve
R. Moir, Councillor
M. Thompson, Councillor
M. Gressler, Councillor
A. Heinrich, Councillor
S. Mahan, Councillor
K. Westerlund, Councillor
M. Schoeninger, Chief Administrative Officer
K. Robinson, Executive Assistant
T. Thomson, Fire Chief
R. Ennis, Director of Community Services
J. Evasiuk, Director of Public Works and Infrastructure

GENERAL MATTERS General Matters

Response from the Village of Breton Regarding a Reduction of the Speed Limit on Hwy. 20 Through the Village of Breton

Councillor M. Thompson provided a verbal report regarding a request from a resident to lower the speed limit on Hwy. 20 through Breton.

1058/14 Moved by M. Thompson to receive the report for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Violet Grove Crime Watch Per Diem

Councillor R. Moir provided a verbal report regarding per diem for the Violet Grove Crime Watch.

1059/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to receive the report for information.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**CORRESPONDENCE
ITEMS****Correspondence/Items for Information**

- a) Correspondence from Alberta Emergency Management Agency regarding applications for Disaster Recovery Assistance

1060/14 Moved by M. Thompson to forward a letter to the MLA and Minister and ADM and request a meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**COUNCILLOR
REPORTS****Councillor Reports (July, 2014)**

Reeve P. Vos reported that she attended:

- Regular Council Meetings X 2
- Communities in Bloom
- Policy Review Meetings X 2

Councillor S. Mahan reported that she attended:

- FCSS Tour of programs offered
- Healthy Communities Coalition – no charge
- MPC Meeting
- Community in Bloom Tour
- Policy Review X 2
- Violet Grove Crime Watch
- Parks Day EPBR Volunteer – no charge
- Zone 3 Meeting in Edmonton
- Regular Council Meeting

Councillor K. Westerlund reported that she attended:

- Regular Council Meeting
- CAO Talks
- AAMDC Zone 3 Meeting (Edmonton)

Councillor M. Thompson reported that she attended:

- Breton Community Centre Breakfast – no charge
 - Breton Parade participation – no charge
 - Breton Chamber supper – no charge
 - Regular Council Meetings X 2
 - Photo-op with Barmac – no charge
 - Special Council Meeting
 - Policy Review Meetings X 2
 - Pembina Zone Meeting
-

Councillor R. Moir reported that she attended:

- Canada Day Float/spoke on behalf of Reeve at Canada Day Celebration
- Regular Council Meetings X 2
- Council discussion on service capacity
- Drayton Valley Community Coalition/safe and healthy community working group
- Ag/plex Committee tour of Rimbey Agriplex tour and meeting with board
- Attended MAT program discussion/homelessness and poverty strategy – no charge
- MPC Meeting
- Policy Review Meetings X 2
- Rural Crime Watch meeting – no charge
- Pembina Divisional Meeting in Edmonton
- Attended Rural Communication Networking meeting with Reeve
- Attended DV Community Health Foundation meeting with Reeve

Councillor A. Heinrich reported that he attended:

- Council Meetings X 3
- Tour of Rimbey Agplex
- Policy Review Meetings X 2
- Meeting with Birchwood Condo Board and residents – no charge
- Pembina Zone meeting in Edmonton
- NSWA Headwater Meeting

Councillor M. Gressler reported that he attended:

- Regular Council Meetings X 2
- Policy Review Meeting
- Physician Recruitment
- Brazeau Senior Foundation (paid by BSF)

1061/14 Moved by R. Moir to receive the Councillor Reports as submitted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

REEVE'S REPORT

Reeve's Report

Reeve P. Vos reported that she did not attend any meetings over and above what has been reported.

**COMMITTEE
REPORTS**

Committee Reports

ASB Member's Report

Councillor K. Westerlund provided a report regarding the Agricultural Service Board.

MPC Chairman's Report

Councillor K. Westerlund provided a report regarding the Municipal Planning Commission.

Brazeau Seniors Foundation Report

Councillor M. Gressler provided a report regarding the Brazeau Seniors Foundation.

Agricultural Complex Feasibility Committee

Councillor A. Heinrich provided a report regarding the Agricultural Complex Feasibility Committee.

Physician Recruitment and Retention Committee

Councillor M. Gressler provided a report regarding the Community Physician Recruitment and Retention Committee.

1062/14 Moved by S. Mahan to receive the Councillor Committee reports as presented.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

**MEETING
DATES**

Meeting Dates**September 4, 2014 Brainstorming Session**

1063/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to cancel the Joint meeting with the Town in Leduc.

IN FAVOUR: A. Heinrich

OPPOSED: K. Westerlund
 R. Moir
 P. Vos
 M. Gressler
 S. Mahan
 M. Thompson

DEFEATED

1064/14 Moved by M. Thompson that Administration try to change the Joint Meeting with the Town to Drayton Valley as opposed to Leduc.

IN FAVOUR: K. Westerlund
R. Moir
P. Vos
M. Gressler
S. Mahan
M. Thompson

OPPOSED: A. Heinrich

CARRIED

Schedule dates for Policy Review Meetings

1065/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to schedule a policy review meeting for Monday, September 29th – 9:00 – 4:00 pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Ratify any Meetings Attended Since August 19, 2014

None

Schedule Organizational Meeting

1066/14 Moved by A. Heinrich to schedule the Organizational Meeting for Monday, October 27, 2014 at 9:00 am.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Quarterly Budget Meeting

1067/14 Moved by R. Moir to schedule a Quarterly Budget meeting for January 7, 2015 from 9:00 – 3:00 pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Reeve P. Vos called for a break at 1:57 pm and the meeting resumed at 2:07 pm.

**IN PRIVATE
SESSION**

In Private Session

1068/14 Moved by R. Moir that the regular Council Meeting of September 2, 2014 proceed into 'in private' at 2:08 pm for the purpose of discussing: Code of Conduct.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Councillor K. Westerlund left the meeting at 3:20 pm.

1069/14 Moved by A. Heinrich that the regular Council Meeting of September 2, 2014 come out of 'in private' at 3:46 pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADJOURNMENT

1070/14 Moved by M. Gressler that the regular Council Meeting of September 2, 2014 adjourn at 3:46 pm.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



Reeve



Chief Administrative Officer